

Week 3 - L05

Online Optimization and Learning: Basics

CS 295 Optimization for Machine Learning

Ioannis Panageas

Playing the experts game

Definition. For each day $t = 1 \dots T$, you have to choose between alternatives A, B (e.g., rain or not rain).

- Choose A or B according to some rule.
- One of the alternatives realizes.
- If you choose *correctly* you are *not penalized* otherwise you *lose one point*.
- Imagine that there are n *experts* who on each day t , recommend either A or B .

Playing the experts game

Definition. For each day $t = 1 \dots T$, you have to choose between alternatives A, B (e.g., rain or not rain).

- Choose A or B according to some rule.
- One of the alternatives realizes.
- If you choose *correctly* you are *not penalized* otherwise you *lose one point*.
- Imagine that there are n *experts* who on each day t , recommend either A or B .

Can you be *correct all the time*? What is the “*right*” objective?

Playing the experts game

Definition. For each day $t = 1 \dots T$, you have to choose between alternatives A, B (e.g., rain or not rain).

- Choose A or B according to some rule.
- One of the alternatives realizes.
- If you choose *correctly* you are *not penalized* otherwise you *lose one point*.
- Imagine that there are n *experts* who on each day t , recommend either A or B .

Can you be *correct all the time*? What is the “*right*” objective?

Perform close to best expert!

Playing the experts game

Algorithm (Weighted Majority). We define the following algorithm:

1. Initialize $w_i^0 = 1$ for all $i \in [n]$.
2. **For** $t=1 \dots T$ **do**
3. **If** $\sum_{i \text{ choose } A} w_i^{t-1} \geq \sum_{i \text{ choose } B} w_i^{t-1}$
4. **Choose** A , **otherwise** B .
5. **End If**
6. **For** expert i that made a mistake **do**
7. $w_i^t = (1 - \epsilon)w_i^{t-1}$.
8. **End For**
9. **For** expert i that did not make a mistake **do**
10. $w_i^t = w_i^{t-1}$.
11. **End For**
12. **End For**

Remarks:

- ϵ is the **stepsize** (to be chosen later).
- Performs almost as good as “**best**” expert (fewest mistakes)

Playing the experts game

Theorem (Weighted Majority). Let M_T , M_T^B be the total number of mistakes the algorithm and best expert make until step T , respectively. It holds that

$$M_T \leq 2(1 + \epsilon)M_T^B + \frac{\log n}{\epsilon}.$$

Proof. Let's define the **potential** function $\phi_t = \sum_i w_i^t$.

Playing the experts game

Theorem (Weighted Majority). Let M_T , M_T^B be the total number of mistakes the algorithm and best expert make until step T , respectively. It holds that

$$M_T \leq 2(1 + \epsilon)M_T^B + \frac{\log n}{\epsilon}.$$

Proof. Let's define the **potential** function $\phi_t = \sum_i w_i^t$.

- $\phi_0 = n$.
- $\phi_{t+1} \leq \phi_t$ (why?).

Playing the experts game

Theorem (Weighted Majority). Let M_T , M_T^B be the total number of mistakes the algorithm and best expert make until step T , respectively. It holds that

$$M_T \leq 2(1 + \epsilon)M_T^B + \frac{\log n}{\epsilon}.$$

Proof. Let's define the **potential** function $\phi_t = \sum_i w_i^t$.

- $\phi_0 = n$.
- $\phi_{t+1} \leq \phi_t$ (why?).

Observe that if we make a mistake at time t then the majority was wrong, that is at least $\frac{\phi_t}{2}$ will be multiplied by $(1 - \epsilon)$.

Hence, if we make a mistake then $\phi_{t+1} \leq (1 - \epsilon)\frac{\phi_t}{2} + \frac{\phi_t}{2} = (1 - \frac{\epsilon}{2})\phi_t$

Playing the experts game

Theorem (Weighted Majority). Let M_T , M_T^B be the total number of mistakes the algorithm and best expert make until step T , respectively. It holds that

$$M_T \leq 2(1 + \epsilon)M_T^B + \frac{\log n}{\epsilon}.$$

Proof. Let's That is $\phi_{t+1} \leq (1 - \frac{\epsilon}{2})\phi_t$ when we do a mistake, otherwise just $\phi_{t+1} \leq \phi_t$. Since we have M_T mistakes, then

- ϕ_0
- ϕ_t

$$\phi_T \leq \left(1 - \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right)^{M_T} \phi_1.$$

Observe that ϕ_t is at least $\frac{\phi_t}{2}$ will be multiplied by $(1 - \epsilon)$ that

Hence, if we make a mistake then $\phi_{t+1} \leq (1 - \epsilon)\frac{\phi_t}{2} + \frac{\phi_t}{2} = (1 - \frac{\epsilon}{2})\phi_t$

Playing the experts game

Proof cont. Moreover, assuming the best expert (say i^*) did M_T^B mistakes, we have

$$\phi_T > w_{i^*}^T = (1 - \epsilon)^{M_T^B}.$$

Playing the experts game

Proof cont. Moreover, assuming the best expert (say i^*) did M_T^B mistakes, we have

$$\phi_T > w_{i^*}^T = (1 - \epsilon)^{M_T^B}.$$

We conclude that

$$(1 - \epsilon)^{M_T^B} < \left(1 - \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right)^{M_T} n.$$

By taking the log, $M_T^B \log(1 - \epsilon) < \log(1 - \epsilon/2)M_T + \log n$.

Playing the experts game

Proof cont. Moreover, assuming the best expert (say i^*) did M_T^B mistakes, we have

$$\phi_T > w_{i^*}^T = (1 - \epsilon)^{M_T^B}.$$

We conclude that

$$(1 - \epsilon)^{M_T^B} < \left(1 - \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right)^{M_T} n.$$

By taking the log, $M_T^B \log(1 - \epsilon) < \log(1 - \epsilon/2)M_T + \log n$.

Since $-x - x^2 < \log(1 - x) < -x$, $M_T^B(-\epsilon - \epsilon^2) < -M_T\epsilon/2 + \log n$.

Playing the experts game (randomized)

Definition. For each day $t = 1 \dots T$, you have to choose between alternatives A, B (e.g., rain or not rain).

- Choose A or B with some probability.
- One of the alternatives realizes.
- If you choose *correctly* you are *not penalized* otherwise you *lose one point*.
- Imagine that there are n *experts* who on each day t , recommend either A or B .

What is the “**right**” objective this time?

Playing the experts game (randomized)

Definition. For each day $t = 1 \dots T$, you have to choose between alternatives A, B (e.g., rain or not rain).

- Choose A or B with some probability.
- One of the alternatives realizes.
- If you choose *correctly* you are *not penalized* otherwise you *lose one point*.
- Imagine that there are n *experts* who on each day t , recommend either A or B .

What is the “right” objective this time?

Perform in expectation close to best expert!

Playing the experts game (randomized)

Algorithm (Randomized Weighted Majority). We define the following algorithm:

1. Initialize $w_i^0 = 1$ for all $i \in [n]$.
2. **For** $t=1 \dots T$ **do**
3. **Choose** expert's i recommendation with probability proportional to w_i^{t-1} .
4. **For** expert i that made a mistake **do**
5. $w_i^t = (1 - \epsilon)w_i^{t-1}$.
6. **End For**
7. **For** expert i that did not make a mistake **do**
8. $w_i^t = w_i^{t-1}$.
9. **End For**
10. **End For**

Remarks:

- ϵ is the **stepsize** (to be chosen later).
- Performs almost as good as “**best**” expert (fewest mistakes).
- We choose i with probability $p_i^t = \frac{w_i^{t-1}}{\sum_j w_j^{t-1}}$.
- The algorithm is also called **Multiplicative Weights Update!**

Playing the experts game

Theorem (Weighted Majority). Let M_T , M_T^B be the total number of mistakes the algorithm and best expert make until step T , respectively. It holds that

$$\mathbb{E}[M_T] \leq (1 + \epsilon)M_T^B + \frac{\log n}{\epsilon}.$$

Proof. Let's define the **potential** function $\phi_t = \sum_i w_i^t$.

Using the exact same argument, if the best expert (say i^*) did M_T^B mistakes, we have

$$\phi_T > w_{i^*}^T = (1 - \epsilon)^{M_T^B}.$$

$$\text{Now } \phi_{t+1} = \sum w_i^{t+1} = \sum w_i^t (1 - \epsilon \mathbf{1}_{i \text{ wrong at } t})$$

Playing the experts game

Theorem (Weighted Majority). Let M_T , M_T^B be the total number of mistakes the algorithm and best expert make until step T , respectively. It holds that

$$\mathbb{E}[M_T] \leq (1 + \epsilon)M_T^B + \frac{\log n}{\epsilon}.$$

Proof. Let's define the **potential** function $\phi_t = \sum_i w_i^t$.

Using the exact same argument, if the best expert (say i^*) did M_T^B mistakes, we have

$$\phi_T > w_{i^*}^T = (1 - \epsilon)^{M_T^B}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now } \phi_{t+1} &= \sum w_i^{t+1} = \sum w_i^t (1 - \epsilon \mathbf{1}_{i \text{ wrong at } t}) \\ &= \sum \phi_t p_i^{t+1} (1 - \epsilon \mathbf{1}_{i \text{ wrong at } t}) \end{aligned}$$

Playing the experts game

Theorem (Weighted Majority). Let M_T , M_T^B be the total number of mistakes the algorithm and best expert make until step T , respectively. It holds that

$$\mathbb{E}[M_T] \leq (1 + \epsilon)M_T^B + \frac{\log n}{\epsilon}.$$

Proof. Let's define the **potential** function $\phi_t = \sum_i w_i^t$.

Using the exact same argument, if the best expert (say i^*) did M_T^B mistakes, we have

$$\phi_T > w_{i^*}^T = (1 - \epsilon)^{M_T^B}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now } \phi_{t+1} &= \sum w_i^{t+1} = \sum w_i^t (1 - \epsilon \mathbf{1}_{i \text{ wrong at } t}) \\ &= \sum \phi_t p_i^{t+1} (1 - \epsilon \mathbf{1}_{i \text{ wrong at } t}) \\ &= \phi_t \sum p_i^{t+1} (1 - \epsilon \mathbf{1}_{i \text{ wrong at } t}) \end{aligned}$$

Playing the experts game

Proof cont. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_{t+1} &= \phi_t \left(1 - \epsilon \sum_i p_i^{t+1} \mathbf{1}_{i \text{ wrong at } t} \right) \\ &= \phi_t \sum_i p_i^{t+1} (1 - \epsilon \mathbf{1}_{i \text{ wrong}})\end{aligned}$$

Playing the experts game

Proof cont. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_{t+1} &= \phi_t \left(1 - \epsilon \sum_i p_i^{t+1} \mathbf{1}_{i \text{ wrong at } t} \right) \\ &= \phi_t \sum_i p_i^{t+1} (1 - \epsilon \mathbf{1}_{i \text{ wrong}}) \\ &= \phi_t (1 - \epsilon \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{1}_{\text{we made mistake at } t}])\end{aligned}$$

Playing the experts game

Proof cont. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_{t+1} &= \phi_t \left(1 - \epsilon \sum_i p_i^{t+1} \mathbf{1}_{i \text{ wrong at } t} \right) \\ &= \phi_t \sum_i p_i^{t+1} (1 - \epsilon \mathbf{1}_{i \text{ wrong}}) \\ &= \phi_t (1 - \epsilon \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{1}_{\text{we made mistake at } t}]) \\ &\leq \phi_t e^{-\epsilon \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{1}_{\text{we made mistake at } t}]}\end{aligned}$$

Telescopic product gives

$$\phi_T \leq \phi_1 e^{-\epsilon \mathbb{E}[M_T]}.$$

Playing the experts game

Proof cont. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_{t+1} &= \phi_t \left(1 - \epsilon \sum_i p_i^{t+1} \mathbf{1}_{i \text{ wrong at } t} \right) \\ &= \phi_t \sum_i p_i^{t+1} (1 - \epsilon \mathbf{1}_{i \text{ wrong}}) \\ &= \phi_t (1 - \epsilon \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{1}_{\text{we made mistake at } t}]) \\ &\leq \phi_t e^{-\epsilon \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{1}_{\text{we made mistake at } t}]}\end{aligned}$$

Telescopic product gives

$$\phi_T \leq \phi_1 e^{-\epsilon \mathbb{E}[M_T]}.$$

Therefore $(1 - \epsilon)^{M_T^B} \leq e^{-\epsilon \mathbb{E}[M_T]} n$, or $M_T^B (-\epsilon - \epsilon^2) \leq \log n - \epsilon \mathbb{E}[M_T]$.

The general setting

Definition. *At each time step $t = 1 \dots T$.*

- *Player* chooses $x_t \in \mathcal{K} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ (some closed convex set).
- *Adversary* chooses $\ell_t \in \mathcal{F}$ (set of convex functions).
- *Player* suffers loss $\ell_t(x_t)$ and observes feedback.

The general setting

Definition. At each time step $t = 1 \dots T$.

- *Player* chooses $x_t \in \mathcal{K} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ (some closed convex set).
- *Adversary* chooses $\ell_t \in \mathcal{F}$ (set of convex functions).
- *Player* suffers loss $\ell_t(x_t)$ and observes feedback.

Player's goal is to minimize the (time average) **Regret**, that is:

$$\frac{1}{T} \left[\sum_{t=1}^T \ell_t(x_t) - \min_{u \in \mathcal{K}} \sum_{t=1}^T \ell_t(u) \right].$$

If $\text{Regret} \rightarrow 0$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$, the algorithm is called **no-regret**.

Convex optimization as special case

Definition. *At each time step $t = 1 \dots T$.*

- *Player* chooses $x_t \in \mathcal{K} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ (some closed convex set).
- *Adversary* chooses *same* ℓ (convex function).
- *Player* suffers loss $\ell(x_t)$ and observes feedback.

Player's goal is to minimize the (time average) **Regret**, that is:

$$\frac{1}{T} \left[\sum_{t=1}^T \ell(x_t) - \min_{u \in \mathcal{K}} \sum_{t=1}^T \ell(u) \right] \geq \ell \left(\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T x_t \right) - \ell(x^*).$$

Regret for Experts problem

Player's goal is to minimize the (time average) **Regret**, that is:

$$\frac{(\mathbb{E}[M_T] - \# \text{mistakes best expert})}{T}.$$

Regret for Experts problem

Player's goal is to minimize the (time average) **Regret**, that is:

$$\frac{(\mathbb{E}[M_T] - \# \text{mistakes best expert})}{T}.$$

Explanation: We chose x_t the probability distribution at time t over experts and ℓ_t is the probability to do a mistake.

Regret for Experts problem

Player's goal is to minimize the (time average) **Regret**, that is:

$$\frac{(\mathbb{E}[M_T] - \# \text{mistakes best expert})}{T}.$$

Explanation: We chose x_t the probability distribution at time t over experts and ℓ_t is the probability to do a mistake.

Recall that,

$$\mathbb{E}[M_T] \leq (1 + \epsilon)M_T^B + \frac{\log n}{\epsilon}.$$

Choosing $\epsilon = \sqrt{\frac{\log n}{T}}$ gives average regret $2\sqrt{\frac{\log n}{T}}$!

Regret for Experts problem

Player's goal is to minimize the (time average) **Regret**, that is:

$$\frac{(\mathbb{E}[M_T] - \# \text{mistakes best expert})}{T}.$$

Explanation: We chose x_t the probability distribution at time t over experts and ℓ_t is the probability to do a mistake.

Recall that,

$$\mathbb{E}[M_T] \leq (1 + \epsilon)M_T^B + \frac{\log n}{\epsilon}.$$

Choosing $\epsilon = \sqrt{\frac{\log n}{T}}$ gives average regret $2\sqrt{\frac{\log n}{T}}$!

Can we do better?

Regret for Experts problem

Consider just **two** experts that choose one A and B respectively at all times. The adversary chooses **uniformly at random** A or B .

The **expected number of mistakes** of an online algorithm is $\frac{T}{2}$.

One of the two fixed strategies will have **with high probability** (say 99%)

$$\frac{T}{2} - \Theta(\sqrt{T}) \text{ mistakes.}$$

Online Gradient Descent

Definition (Online Gradient Descent). Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be convex function, differentiable and L -Lipschitz in some compact convex set \mathcal{X} of diameter D . Online GD is defined:

Initialize at some x_0 .

For $t:=1$ to T do

1. Choose x_t and observe $\ell_t(x_t)$.

2. $y_t = x_t - \alpha_t \nabla \ell_t(x_t)$.

3. $x_{t+1} = \Pi_{\mathcal{X}}(y_t)$.

Regret: $\frac{1}{T} \left(\sum_{t=1}^T \ell_t(x_t) - \min_x \sum_{t=1}^T \ell_t(x) \right)$.

Analysis of Online GD for L -Lipschitz

Theorem (Online Gradient Descent). Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be convex function, differentiable and L -Lipschitz in some compact convex set \mathcal{X} of diameter D .

It holds

$$\left(\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \ell_t(x_t) - \min_x \sum_{t=1}^T \ell_t(x) \right) \leq \frac{3LD}{2\sqrt{T}},$$

with appropriately choosing $\alpha = \frac{D}{L\sqrt{t}}$.

Remarks:

- If we want error ϵ , we need $T = \Theta\left(\frac{L^2 D^2}{\epsilon^2}\right)$ iterations (same as GD for L -Lipschitz).

Analysis of Online GD for L -Lipschitz

Proof. Let x^* be the argmin of $\sum \ell_t(x)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_t(x_t) - \ell_t(x^*) &\leq \nabla \ell_t(x_t)^\top (x_t - x^*) \text{ convexity,} \\ &= \frac{1}{\alpha_t} (x_t - y_t)^\top (x_t - x^*) \text{ definition of GD,} \end{aligned}$$

Analysis of Online GD for L -Lipschitz

Proof. Let x^* be the argmin of $\sum \ell_t(x)$.

$$\begin{aligned}\ell_t(x_t) - \ell_t(x^*) &\leq \nabla \ell_t(x_t)^\top (x_t - x^*) \text{ convexity,} \\ &= \frac{1}{\alpha_t} (x_t - y_t)^\top (x_t - x^*) \text{ definition of GD,} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\alpha_t} \left(\|x_t - x^*\|_2^2 + \|x_t - y_t\|_2^2 - \|y_t - x^*\|_2^2 \right) \text{ law of Cosines,} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\alpha_t} \left(\|x_t - x^*\|_2^2 - \|y_t - x^*\|_2^2 \right) + \frac{\alpha_t}{2} \|\nabla \ell_t(x_t)\|_2^2 \text{ Def. of } y_t,\end{aligned}$$

Analysis of Online GD for L -Lipschitz

Proof. Let x^* be the argmin of $\sum \ell_t(x)$.

$$\begin{aligned}\ell_t(x_t) - \ell_t(x^*) &\leq \nabla \ell_t(x_t)^\top (x_t - x^*) \text{ convexity,} \\ &= \frac{1}{\alpha_t} (x_t - y_t)^\top (x_t - x^*) \text{ definition of GD,} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\alpha_t} \left(\|x_t - x^*\|_2^2 + \|x_t - y_t\|_2^2 - \|y_t - x^*\|_2^2 \right) \text{ law of Cosines,} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\alpha_t} \left(\|x_t - x^*\|_2^2 - \|y_t - x^*\|_2^2 \right) + \frac{\alpha_t}{2} \|\nabla \ell_t(x_t)\|_2^2 \text{ Def. of } y_t, \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\alpha_t} \left(\|x_t - x^*\|_2^2 - \|y_t - x^*\|_2^2 \right) + \frac{\alpha_t L^2}{2} \text{ Lipschitz,} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\alpha_t} \left(\|x_t - x^*\|_2^2 - \|x_{t+1} - x^*\|_2^2 \right) + \frac{\alpha_t L^2}{2} \text{ projection.}\end{aligned}$$

Analysis of Online GD for L -Lipschitz

Proof cont. Since

$$\ell_t(x_t) - \ell_t(x^*) \leq \frac{1}{2\alpha_t} \left(\|x_t - x^*\|_2^2 - \|x_{t+1} - x^*\|_2^2 \right) + \frac{\alpha_t L^2}{2},$$

taking the telescopic sum we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{t=1}^T (\ell_t(x_t) - \ell_t(x^*)) &\leq \sum_{t=1}^T \|x_t - x^*\|_2^2 \left(\frac{1}{2\alpha_t} - \frac{1}{2\alpha_{t-1}} \right) + \frac{L^2}{2} \sum_{t=1}^T \alpha_t. \\ &\leq \frac{D^2}{2} \sum_{t=1}^T \left(\frac{1}{\alpha_t} - \frac{1}{\alpha_{t-1}} \right) + \frac{L^2}{2} \sum_{t=1}^T \alpha_t. \end{aligned}$$

Analysis of Online GD for L -Lipschitz

Proof cont. Since

$$\ell_t(x_t) - \ell_t(x^*) \leq \frac{1}{2\alpha_t} \left(\|x_t - x^*\|_2^2 - \|x_{t+1} - x^*\|_2^2 \right) + \frac{\alpha_t L^2}{2},$$

taking the telescopic sum we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{t=1}^T (\ell_t(x_t) - \ell_t(x^*)) &\leq \sum_{t=1}^T \|x_t - x^*\|_2^2 \left(\frac{1}{2\alpha_t} - \frac{1}{2\alpha_{t-1}} \right) + \frac{L^2}{2} \sum_{t=1}^T \alpha_t. \\ &\leq \frac{D^2}{2} \sum_{t=1}^T \left(\frac{1}{\alpha_t} - \frac{1}{\alpha_{t-1}} \right) + \frac{L^2}{2} \sum_{t=1}^T \alpha_t. \\ &\leq \frac{D^2}{2\alpha_T} + \frac{L^2}{2} \sum_{t=1}^T \alpha_t \leq \frac{LD}{2} \sqrt{T} + 2\sqrt{T} \frac{LD}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact $\sum \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \leq 2\sqrt{T}$ and $\alpha_t = \frac{D}{\sqrt{t}L}$.

Conclusion

- Introduction to Online Optimization and Learning.
 - Experts problem and MWUA.
 - Online GD has rate of convergence $O\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon^2}\right)$ for L -Lipschitz.
 - Next Lecture we will see more about online learning.
- Next week we will talk about **accelerated methods!**